UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/821,216	04/07/2004	Ernest W. Moody	MOODY 51	9418
²⁴²⁵⁸ JOHN EDWAF	7590 06/07/200 RD ROETHEL		EXAMINER	
2290 S. JONES	S BLVD. #100		TORIMIRO, ADETOKUNBO OLUSEGUN	
LAS VEGAS, 1	NV 89146		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
•				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/07/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/821,216	MOODY, ERNEST W.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Adetokunbo O. Torimiro	3714				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 M	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 March 2007</u> .					
<i>'</i> =	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This action is non-final.					
·	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.	4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	_					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-946) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment received on 03/13/2007 has been considered. It has been noted that claims 1-3 and 5-7 have been amended.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: ref. no. 56 (payout tray) on page 8, line 1.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement-drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3714

4. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ferguson (US

6,270,405).

Re claim 1: Ferguson teaches a method of playing a card game consisting of only two

Page 3

hands / multiple hands (see col.3, lines 19-20) comprising displaying an initial first hand

of at least five cards all face up, a player selecting which cards of the initial first hand are

to be held in the initial first hand and which cards to be discarded from the initial first

hand, displaying the discarded cards from the initial first hand in an initial second hand;

displaying additional cards in the initial first hand resulting in a final first hand and

determining the poker hand ranking of the final first hand, and displaying additional cards

in the initial second hand resulting in a final second hand and determining the poker hand

ranking of the final second hand (see Fig. 3A-3K; col.7, lines 46-55; col.8, lines 32-36).

It is apparent to Examiner that multiple hands could be only two hands.

Re claim 2 and 6: Ferguson further teaches the method including the steps of the player

making a first wager that is applied to the first hand and a second wager that is applied to

the second hand (see col.3, lines 37-39), paying the player a pre-established

/predetermined payoff scheduled amount based on the amount of the first wager if the

final first hand comprise a predetermined poker hand ranking, and paying the player a

pre-established /predetermined payoff scheduled amount based on the amount of the

second wager if the final second hand comprise a predetermined poker hand ranking (see

col.3, lines 52-55).

Art Unit: 3714

Re claim 3 and 7: Ferguson also further teaches the method including the steps of the

Page 4

player making a wager that is allocated between the first hand and the second hand (see

col.4, lines 43-45), paying the player a pre-established predetermined payoff scheduled

amount based on the amount of the wager allocated to the first hand if the final first hand

comprise a predetermined poker hand ranking, and paying the player a pre-established

/predetermined payoff scheduled amount based on the amount of the wager allocated to

the second hand if the final second hand comprise a predetermined poker hand ranking

(see col.3, lines 52-55).

Re claim 4 and 9: Ferguson discloses the method of playing a game in which the final

first hand has at least three cards and the final second hand has at least three cards (see

fig. 3K, col.8, lines 34-36). In respect to claims 4 and 9, it is believed to be inherent that

final first hand and final second hand has five cards because the whole process of the

game deals with playing five cards in the initial hand and having five cards for the final

hand as well in order to determine the value of the poker hand.

Re claim 5: Ferguson teaches a method of playing a card game consisting of only two

hands / multiple hands (see col.3, lines 19-20) comprising displaying an initial first hand

of a plurality cards all face up, a player selecting which cards of the initial hand are to be

held in the initial first hand and which cards to be discarded from the initial first hand,

displaying the discarded cards from the first hand in an initial second hand; displaying

additional cards in the initial first hand resulting in a final first hand and determining the

poker hand ranking of the final first hand, and displaying additional cards in the initial second hand resulting in a final second hand and determining the poker hand ranking of the final second hand (see Fig. 1A-1H; col.13, lines 4-23). It is apparent to Examiner that multiple hands could be only two hands.

Re claim 8: Ferguson discloses the method of playing a card game in which the first hand has at least three card (see col.4, lines 54-56).

Response to Arguments

5. The Applicants correction in regards to the claim objections and 35 USC 112 rejection is accepted therefore, that objection and rejection has been withdrawn.

Applicant's arguments filed 03/13/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Examiner disagrees with the argument of the Applicant that the teaching of multiple hands by prior art Ferguson does not teach or suggest playing only two hands at a time. According to the specification of the Applicant, playing only two hands is a preferred preference and not a limitation as to how many hands can be played at a time (see specification, page 5, lines 1-2). Examiner does not see anywhere in the teaching of Ferguson that play is limited to at least three hands as argued by the Applicant; instead in col.3, lines 19-20 and lines 36-39, Ferguson teaches playing multiple hands / plurality of poker hands which is interpreted by Examiner to include either only two hands, only three hands, only five hand, or anything at all as preferred. Because of these reasons, Examiner maintains rejections.

Application/Control Number: 10/821,216

Art Unit: 3714

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Adetokunbo O. Torimiro whose telephone number is (571) 270-

1345. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (8am - 4pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Robert Pezzuto can be reached on (571) 272-6996. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

AOT

SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 6